

NONCOMMUTATIVE QUANTUM MECHANICS AND GEOMETRY FROM THE QUANTIZATION IN C-SPACES

Carlos Castro

Center for Theoretical Studies of Physical Systems
Clark Atlanta University, Atlanta GA. 3031
June 2002

Abstract

Four years ago the Extended Scale Relativity (ESR) theory in C-spaces (Clifford manifolds) was proposed as the plausible physical foundations of string theory. In such theory the speed of light and the minimum Planck scale are the two universal invariants. All the dimensions of a C-space can be treated on equal footing by implementing the holographic principle associated with a nested family of p-loops of various dimensionalities. This is achieved by using polyvector valued coordinates in C-spaces that encode in one stroke points, lines, areas, volumes,... We review the derivation of the minimal length/time string/brane uncertainty relations and the maximum Planck temperature thermodynamical uncertainty relation. The Weyl-Heisenberg algebra in C-spaces is constructed which *induces* a Noncommutative Geometric structure in the X^A coordinates. Hence quantization in C-spaces involves in a natural fashion a Noncommutative Quantum Mechanics and Field Theory rather than being postulated ad-hoc. A QFT in C-spaces may very likely involve (Braided Hopf) Quantum Clifford algebras and generalized Moyal-like star products associated with multisymplectic geometry.

Introduction

In recent years we have argued that the underlying fundamental physical principle behind string theory, not unlike the principle of equivalence and general covariance in Einstein's general relativity, might well be related to the existence of an invariant minimal length scale (Planck scale) attainable in nature. A scale relativistic theory involving spacetime *resolutions* was developed long ago by Nottale where the Planck scale was postulated as the minimum observer independent invariant resolution [1] in Nature. Since "points" cannot be observed physically with an ultimate resolution, they are fuzzy and smeared out into fuzzy balls of Planck radius of arbitrary dimension. For this reason one must construct a theory that includes all dimensions (and signatures) on the equal footing. Because the notion of dimension is a topological invariant, and the concept of a fixed dimension is lost due to the fuzzy nature of points, dimensions are resolution-dependent, one must also include a theory with *all* topologies as well. It is our belief that this may lead to the proper formulation of string and M theory.

In [2] we applied this Extended Scale Relativity principle to the quantum mechanics of p -branes which led to the construction of C-space (a dimension

category) where all p -branes were taken to be on the same footing; i.e. transformations in C-space reshuffled a string history for a five-brane history, a membrane history for a string history, for example. It turned out that Clifford algebras contained the appropriate algebro-geometric features to implement this principle of polydimensional transformations [3, 4, 5].

Clifford algebras have been a very useful tool for a description of geometry and physics [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. In [3,5] it was proposed that every physical quantity is in fact a *polyvector*, that is, a Clifford number or a Clifford aggregate. Also, spinors are the members of left or right minimal ideals of Clifford algebra, which may provide the framework for a deeper understanding of supersymmetries, i.e., the transformations relating bosons and fermions. The Fock-Stueckelberg theory of relativistic particle [4] can be embedded in the Clifford algebra of spacetime [3]. Many important aspects of Clifford algebra are described in [3,5,6,7,8].

Using these methods the bosonic p -brane propagator, in the quenched minisuperspace approximation, was constructed in [9]; the logarithmic corrections to the black hole entropy based on the geometry of Clifford space (in short C -space) were obtained in [12]; the action for higher derivative gravity with torsion from the geometry of C -spaces and how the Conformal algebra of spacetime emerges from the Clifford algebra was performed in [11]; the resolution of the ordering ambiguities of QFT in curved spaces was resolved by [3].

In this new physical theory the arena for physics is no longer the ordinary spacetime, but a more general manifold of Clifford algebra valued objects, polyvectors. Such a manifold has been called a pan-dimensional continuum [5] or C -space [2]. The latter describes on a unified basis the objects of various dimensionality: not only points, but also closed lines, surfaces, volumes,..., called 0-loops (points), 1-loops (closed strings) 2-loops (closed membranes), 3-loops, etc.. It is a sort of a *dimension* category, where the role of functorial maps is played by C -space transformations which reshuffles a p -brane history for a p' -brane history or a mixture of all of them, for example. The above geometric objects may be considered as to corresponding to the well-known physical objects, namely closed p -branes. Technically those transformations in C -space that reshuffle objects of different dimensions are generalizations of the ordinary Lorentz transformations to C -space. In that sense, the C -space is roughly speaking a sort of generalized Penrose-Twistor space from which the ordinary spacetime is a *derived* concept.

In [2] we derived the minimal length uncertainty relations as well as the full blown uncertainty relations due to the contributions of *all* branes of *every* dimensionality, ranging from $p = 0$ all the way to $p = \infty$. In [14] we extended this derivation to include the *maximum* Planck Temperature condition which recently has been recast into a maximum temperature thermodynamical uncertainty relation involving the internal Energy, temperature and the Boltzmann constant [19].

In section **I** we will review the Extended Relativity in C -spaces and the explicit derivation, from first principles, of all the generalized minimal length-time (and maximum temperature) uncertainty relations based on the effective-running Planck “constant” $\hbar$ (energy dependent) emerging from the geometry

of C-spaces.

In section **II** we proceed with the canonical quantization in C-spaces and construct the Weyl-Heisenberg algebra. We show rigorously how the Noncommutative Geometry of the C-space coordinates X is a direct *consequence* of the Weyl-Heisenberg algebra in C-spaces in contradistinction with the *ordinary* phase space commutators $[x^i, p^j] = i\hbar\delta^{ij}$ which imply that $[x^i, x^j] = 0$ as a result of the Jacobi identities.

In C-space this will *no* longer be the case due to the highly nontrivial Weyl-Heisenberg algebra. This is the main result of this work: Canonical Quantization in C-spaces automatically yields a Noncommutative Geometric structure for the coordinates X and hence it involves a Noncommutative QM. It is unnecessary to put in by hand the noncommutativity of the coordinates in terms of a length scale (like the Planck scale) like Snyder did long ago [22]. The mere quantization in C-spaces *induces* the Noncommutativity of coordinates. We believe this is an important result deeply ingrained in the Extended Scale Relativistic structure of C-spaces.

We finalize by discussing how one can extract an effective $\hbar$, which is a function of the Lorentz invariant quantity $p^2 = p_\mu p^\mu$, from the Weyl-Heisenberg algebra in C-space. Effective $\hbar$ of this sort are the ones which furnish the minimal length/time string/brane uncertainty relations. We conclude with some remarks pertaining multisymplectic geometry and QFT in C-spaces based on Quantum Clifford Algebras and Braided Hopf Quantum Clifford algebras to study q-deformations of C-spaces.

A Moyal star product construction deserves further study as well. Since C-spaces involves the physics of all p -loops it is warranted to use methods of multisymplectic mechanics since phase spaces in C-spaces involve antisymmetric tensors of arbitrary rank. Nambu-Poisson QM seems to be the most appropriate one to study C-space QM. In particular the use of the Zariski star product deformations vz the Moyal one will be welcome [24].

I. Extending Relativity from Minkowski spacetime to C-space

We embark into the extended relativity theory in C-spaces by a natural generalization of the notion of a space- time interval in Minkowski space to C-space:

$$dX^2 = d\Omega^2 + dx_\mu dx^\mu + dx_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu\nu} + \dots \quad (1)$$

The Clifford valued poly-vector:

$$X = \Omega I + x^\mu \gamma_\mu + x^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu + \dots \quad (2)$$

denotes the position in a manifold, called Clifford space or C -space. The coordinates $x^{\mu\nu}, \dots$ are the holographic areas, volumes, ...shadows or projections of the nested family of p -loops onto the embedding spacetime coordinate planes/hyperplanes. Since the Planck scale is given by: $\Lambda = (G_N)^{1/(D-2)}$ in D dimensions, in units of $\hbar = c = 1$, and since we wish to have a universal value

for the minimum distance in *all* dimensions, we will set $\Lambda = G_N = 1$, which is the consistent value in *all* dimensions ranging from $D = 2$ all the way to $D = \infty$. The ESR theory admits naturally this sytem of units, of setting all fundamental constants to *unity*, including Boltzmann constant and the Planck Temperature.

If we take differential dX of X and compute the scalar product $dX * dX$ we obtain:

$$d\Sigma^2 = (d\Omega)^2 + \Lambda^{2D-2} dx_\mu dx^\mu + \Lambda^{2D-4} dx_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu\nu} + .. \quad (3)$$

Here we have introduced the Planck scale Λ since a length parameter is needed in order to tie objects of different dimensionality together: 0-loops, 1-loops,..., p -loops. Einstein introduced the speed of light as a universal absolute invariant in order to “unite” space with time (to match units) in the Minkowski space interval:

$$ds^2 = c^2 dt^2 - dx_i dx^i. \quad (4)$$

A similar unification is needed here to “unite” objects of different dimensions, such as x^μ , $x^{\mu\nu}$, etc... The Planck scale then emerges as another universal invariant in constructing an extended scale relativity theory in C-spaces [2].

To continue along the same path, we consider the analog of Lorentz transformations in C-spaces which transform a poly-vector X into another poly-vector X' given by $X' = RXR^{-1}$ with

$$R = \exp [i(\theta I + \theta^\mu \gamma_\mu + \theta^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \gamma_{\mu_1} \wedge \gamma_{\mu_2} \dots)]. \quad (5)$$

and

$$R^{-1} = \exp [-i(\theta I + \theta^\nu \gamma_\nu + \theta^{\nu_1 \nu_2} \gamma_{\nu_1} \wedge \gamma_{\nu_2} \dots)]. \quad (6)$$

where the theta parameters:

$$\theta; \theta^\mu; \theta^{\mu\nu}; \quad (7)$$

are the C-space version of the Lorentz rotations/boosts parameters.

Since a Clifford algebra admits a matrix representation, one can write the norm of a poly-vectors in terms of the trace operation as: $||X||^2 = \text{Trace } X^2$ Hence under C-space Lorentz transformation the norms of poly-vectors behave like follows:

$$\text{Trace } X'^2 = \text{Trace } [RX^2 R^{-1}] = \text{Trace } [RR^{-1} X^2] = \text{Trace } X^2. \quad (8)$$

These norms are invariant under C-space Lorentz transformations due to the cyclic property of the trace operation and $RR^{-1} = 1$.

1.2 Planck scale as the minimum invariant in Extended Scale Relativity

Long time ago L.Nottale proposed to view the Planck scale as the absolute minimum invariant (observer independent) scale in Nature in his formulation of

scale relativity [1]. We can apply this idea to C-spaces by choosing the correct analog of the Minkowski signature:

$$||dX||^2 = d\Sigma^2 = (d\Omega)^2 [1 - \Lambda^{2D-2} \frac{(dx_\mu)^2}{(d\Omega)^2} - \Lambda^{2D-4} \frac{(dx_{\mu\nu})^2}{(d\Omega)^2} - \Lambda^{2D-6} \frac{(dx_{\mu\nu\rho})^2}{(d\Omega)^2} - \dots]$$

$$||dX||^2 = d\Sigma^2 = (d\Omega)^2 [1 - (\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda_1})^{2D-2} - (\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda_2})^{2D-4} - (\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda_3})^{2D-6} - \dots]. \quad (9)$$

where the sequence of *variable* scales $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots$ are related to the generalized (holographic) velocities defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(dx_\mu)^2}{(d\Omega)^2} &\equiv (V_1)^2 = (\frac{1}{\lambda_1})^{2D-2}. \\ \frac{(dx_{\mu\nu})^2}{(d\Omega)^2} &\equiv (V_2)^2 = (\frac{1}{\lambda_2})^{2D-4}. \\ \frac{(dx_{\mu\nu\rho})^2}{(d\Omega)^2} &\equiv (V_3)^2 = (\frac{1}{\lambda_3})^{2D-6} .. \end{aligned} \quad (10).$$

It is clear now that if $||dX||^2 \geq 0$ then the sequence of *variable* lengths λ_n cannot be *smaller* than the Planck scale Λ . This is analogous to a situation with the Minkowski interval:

$$ds^2 = c^2 dt^2 [1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}]. \quad (11)$$

when it is ≥ 0 if, and only if, the velocity v does not exceed the speed of light. If any of the λ_n were smaller than the Planck scale the C-space interval will become tachyonic-like $d\Sigma^2 < 0$. Photons in C-space are *tensionless* branes/loops. Quite analogously one can interpret the Planck scale as the postulated minimum universal distance in nature, not unlike the postulate about the speed of light as the upper limit on the speed of signal propagation.

What seems remarkable in this scheme of things is the nature of the signatures and the emergence of two times. One of the latter is the local mode, a clock, represented by t and the other mode is a “global” one represented by the volume of the space-time filling brane Ω . For more details related to this Fock-Stuckelberg-type parameter see [3]. We must emphasize that one must not confuse these global and local time modes with the two modes of time in other branches of science [13].

Another immediate application of this theory is that one may consider “strings” and “branes” in C-spaces as a unifying description of *all* branes of different dimensionality. As we have already indicated, since spinors are left/right ideals of a Clifford algebra, a supersymmetry is then naturally incorporated into this approach as well. In particular, one can have world volume and target space

supersymmetry *simultaneously* [17]. We hope that the C -space “strings” and “branes” may lead us towards discovering the physical foundations of string and M-theory.

1. 3 The Generalized String/Brane Uncertainty Relations

Below we will review how the minimal length string uncertainty relations can be obtained from C -spaces [2]. The norm of a momentum poly-vector was defined:

$$P^2 = \pi^2 + p_\mu p^\mu + p_{\mu\nu} p^{\mu\nu} + p_{\mu\nu\rho} p^{\mu\nu\rho} + \dots = M^2 \quad (12)$$

Nottale has given convincing arguments why the notion of *dimension* is resolution dependent, and at the Planck scale, the minimum attainable distance, the dimension becomes singular, that is blows-up. If we take the dimension at the Planck scale to be infinity, then the norm P^2 will involve an infinite number of terms since the degree of a Clifford algebra in D -dim is 2^D . It is precisely this infinite series expansion which will reproduce *all* the different forms of the Casimir invariant masses appearing in kappa-deformed Poincare algebras [11,12].

It was discussed recently why there is an infinity of possible values of the Casimirs invariant M^2 due to an infinite choice of possible bases. The parameter κ is taken to be equal to the inverse of the Planck scale. The classical Poincare algebra is retrieved when $\Lambda = 0$. The kappa-deformed Poincare algebra does *not* act in classical Minkowski spacetime. It acts in a quantum-deformed spacetime. We conjecture that the natural deformation of Minkowski spacetime is given by C -space.

The way to generate all the different forms of the Casimirs M^2 is by “projecting down” from the 2^D -dim Clifford algebra to D -dim. One simply “slices” the 2^D -dim mass-shell hyper-surface in C -space by a D -dim one. This is achieved by imposing the following constraints on the holographic components of the polyvector-momentum. In doing so one is explicitly *breaking* the poly-dimensional covariance and for this reason one can obtain an infinity of possible choices for the Casimirs M^2 .

To demonstrate this, we impose the following constraints:

$$p_{\mu\nu} p^{\mu\nu} = a_2 (p_\mu p^\mu)^2 = a_2 p^4. \quad p_{\mu\nu\rho} p^{\mu\nu\rho} = a_3 (p_\mu p^\mu)^3 = a_3 p^6. \quad \dots \quad (13)$$

Upon doing so the norm of the poly-momentum becomes:

$$P^2 = \sum_n a_n p^{2n} = M^2(1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n, \dots) \quad (14)$$

Therefore, by a judicious choice of the coefficients a_n , and by reinserting the suitable powers of the Planck scale, which have to be there in order to combine objects of different dimensions, one can reproduce *all* the possible Casimirs in the form:

$$M^2 = m^2[f(\Lambda m/\hbar)]^2. \quad m^2 \equiv p_\mu p^\mu = p^2. \quad (15)$$

where the functions $f(\Lambda m/\hbar)$ are the *scaling* functions with the property that when $\Lambda = 0$ then $f \rightarrow 1$.

To illustrate the relevance of poly-vectors, we will summarize our derivation of the minimal length string uncertainty relations [2]. Because of the existence of the extra holographic variables one cannot naively impose $[x, p] = i\hbar$ due to the effects of the other components. The units of $[x_{\mu\nu}, p^{\mu\nu}]$ are of $\hbar^2$ and of higher powers of $\hbar$ for the other commutators. To achieve covariance in C-space which reshuffles objects of different dimensionality, the effective Planck constant in C-space should be given by a sum of powers of $\hbar$.

This is not surprising. Classical C-space contains the Planck scale, which itself depends on $\hbar$. This implies that already at the classical level, C-space contains the seeds of the quantum space. At the next level of quantization, we have an effective $\hbar$ that comprises all the powers of $\hbar$ induced by the commutators involving *all* the holographic variables. In general one must write down the commutation relations in terms of polyvector-valued quantities. In particular, the Planck constant will now be a Clifford number, a polyvector with multiple components. This will be the subject of section II .

The simplest way to infer the effects of the holographic coordinates of C-space on the commutation relations is by working with the effective $\hbar$ that appears in the *nonlinear* de Broglie dispersion relation. The mass-shell condition in C-space, after imposing the constraints among the holographic components, yields an effective mass $M = mf(\Lambda m/\hbar)$. The generalized De Broglie relations, which are *no* longer linear, are [2]:

$$|P_{effective}| = |p|f(\Lambda m/\hbar) = \hbar_{effective}|k|. \quad \hbar_{effective} = \hbar f(\Lambda m/\hbar) = \hbar \sum a_n (\Lambda m/\hbar)^{2n}. \quad m^2 = p^2 = p_\mu p^\mu = (\hbar k)^2. \quad (16)$$

Using the effective $\hbar_{eff}$, the well known relation based on the Schwartz inequality and the fact that $|z| \geq |Imz|$ we obtain:

$$\Delta x^i \Delta p^j \geq \frac{1}{2} |<[x^i, p^j]>|. \quad [x^i, p^j] = i\hbar_{eff} \delta^{ij}. \quad (17)$$

Using the relations

$$<p^2> \geq (\Delta p)^2. \quad <p^4> \geq (\Delta p)^4 \dots \dots \quad (18)$$

and the series expansion of the effective $\hbar_{eff}$, we get for each component (we omit indices for simplicity):

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{1}{2} \hbar + \frac{a\Lambda^2}{2\hbar} (\Delta p)^2 + \dots \dots \dots \quad (19)$$

This yields the minimal length string uncertainty relations:

$$\Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{2\Delta p} + \frac{a\Lambda^2}{2\hbar}\Delta p..... \quad (20)$$

By replacing lengths by times and momenta by energy one reproduces the minimal Planck time uncertainty relations. One could include *all* the terms in the series expansion and derive a generalized string/brane uncertainty relation which still retains the minimal length condition, of the order of the Planck scale [2]. For example, if one chooses the same value for all the coefficients in the Taylor expansion, an *isotropy* condition in C-spaces is selected where all directions have equal weight, the full blown uncertainty relation due to *all* branes is given by [2]:

$$\Delta x \geq \sqrt{2}\Lambda \frac{e^{(\Delta z)^2/4}}{(\Delta z)^2} \sqrt{\sinh [(\Delta z)^2/2]}. \quad (21)$$

where $\Delta z = \Lambda \Delta k$ and $k = \sqrt{k_\mu k^\mu}$ and we took all the coefficients of the Taylor expansion to be equal to unity. This relation also obeys the minimal length condition [2] of the order 1.2426 Λ . Uncertainty relations for a particular p -brane (for a specific value of p) has been given by [20]. Relations given by eq-(21) are due to the contribution of *all* values of p . In the limit that Λ goes to zero we recover the standard Heisenberg uncertainty relations.

The Physical interpretation of these uncertainty relations follow from the extended relativity principle. As we boost the string to higher *transPlanckian* energies part of the energy will *always* be invested into the string's potential energy, increasing its length in bits of Planck scale sizes so that the original string will decompose into two, three, four....strings of Planck sizes carrying units of Planck momentum; i.e. the notion of a single particle/string loses its meaning beyond that point. This reminds one of ordinary relativity, where boosting a massive particle to higher energy increases its speed while a part of the energy is also invested into increasing its mass. In this process the speed of light remains the maximum attainable speed (it takes an infinite energy to do so) and in our scheme the Planck scale is never surpassed. The effects of a minimal length can be clearly seen in Finsler geometries having both a maximum four acceleration c^2/Λ (maximum tidal forces) and a maximum speed [21]. The Riemannian limit is reached when the maximum four acceleration goes to infinity; i.e. The Finsler geometry "collapses" to a Riemannian one.

It is straightforward now to derive the maximum Planck temperature condition [14] and the Thermodynamic Uncertainty relations [19]. Based on the old known results of Euclidean QFT, we simply identify the inverse temperature $1/T$ with the period of the Euclideanized temporal coordinate x_0 . By using the simple correspondence in eqs-(20):

$$x_0 \rightarrow 1/T. \quad \hbar \rightarrow k_B. \quad \Delta E \rightarrow \Delta U$$

we will recover the maximum Planck temperature Uncertainty relations [19]:

$$\Delta(1/T) \geq \frac{k_B}{2\Delta U} + \frac{a}{2k_B T_P^2} \Delta U \quad (22)$$

in terms of the temperature T , the internal energy U and the Boltzman constant k_B . The Planck temperature T_P is defined by M_P/k_B in units of $c = 1$.

II. Weyl-Heisenberg Algebra in C-spaces

A straightforward procedure to visualize the C-space algebraic-geometric structure can be achieved by recalling that a realization of the basis elements E_A exists in terms of Dirac matrices, and their suitable antisymmetrized products of matrices, until saturating the dimensionality of spacetime. A Clifford algebra in D dimension has 2^D basis elements including the unit element. For $D = 2n$ one has a basis of 2^D matrix-elements where each matrix-element is given by a $2^n \times 2^n$ Dirac matrix, for example.

Due to the noncommutative nature of the basis vectors of the Clifford algebra one has:

$$[E_A, E_B] = F_{AB}^M E_M = F_{ABM} E^M. \quad E_A = \{I; \gamma_\mu; \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu; \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \wedge \gamma_\rho; \dots\}. \quad (23a)$$

the quantities F_{AB}^M play a similar role as the structure constants in ordinary Lie algebras. A commutator of two matrices is itself a matrix, which in turn, can be expanded in a suitable matrix basis due to the Clifford algebraic (vector space) structure inherent in C-spaces. The commutator algebra obeys the Jacobi identities, the Liebnitz rule of derivations and the antisymmetry properties.

The Clifford geometric product of two basis elements is defined in terms of a “scalar” (symmetric) and “outer” product (antisymmetric) respectively:

$$E_A E_B = \frac{1}{2} \{E_A, E_B\} + \frac{1}{2} [E_A, E_B]. \quad (23b)$$

In general the geometric product of two multivectors E^A, E^B of ranks r, s , respectively, is given by an aggregate of multivectors of the form:

$$E^A E^B = \langle E^A E^B \rangle_{r+s}, \langle E^A E^B \rangle_{r+s-2}, \langle E^A E^B \rangle_{r+s-4}, \dots, \langle E^A E^B \rangle_{|r-s|}$$

The first term of rank $r + s$ is the wedge product $E^A \wedge E^B$ and the last term of rank $|r - s|$ is the *dot* product $E^A \cdot E^B$ which is obtained by a *contraction* of indices and must not be confused with the scalar part of $E^A E^B$ unless $r = s$. In general, the scalar product among two equal-rank multivectors $r = s$ cannot longer be written in terms of the anticommutator $\{E^A, E^B\}$ except in the case when $r = s = 1$: $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} 1$. However, for equal-rank multivectors, the scalar part $\langle E^A E^B \rangle_0 = G_{AB} I$ where I is the unit element of the Clifford algebra and G_{AB} is the C-space metric.

To begin with we will write for the putative Weyl-Heisenberg algebra:

$$[X^A, P^B] = H^0 G^{AB} - H^{\hat{C}} F_{\hat{C}}^{AB}. \quad (24)$$

where $H^{\hat{C}}, H^0$ are themselves the components of a polyvector-valued C-space generalization of Planck's constant $H = H^C E_C$. The $\hat{C}$ multi-index runs over all the basis elements *except* the unit element $H^0 I$. Later on we will see that this relation needs to be modified by the addition of crucial terms involving the X, P variables.

First of all we must keep track of the correct units. We will treat the P and X exactly on the same footing. For this reason we will *scale* all the basis elements by judicious powers of $\sqrt{\hbar}$:

$$\gamma^\mu \rightarrow \sqrt{\hbar} \gamma^\mu. \quad \gamma^\mu \wedge \gamma^\nu \rightarrow \hbar \gamma^\mu \wedge \gamma^\nu; \dots \quad (25a)$$

and

$$\gamma_\mu \rightarrow \hbar^{-1/2} \gamma_\mu. \quad \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu \rightarrow \hbar^{-1} \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu; \dots \quad (25b)$$

The units of X^A and P^B are taken to be $\hbar^{r_A/2}$ and $\hbar^{r_B/2}$ respectively where r_A, r_B are the ranks of the antisymmetric tensor components X^A, P^B of the polyvectors X, P respectively.

The scaling of the commutator is:

$$[E_A, E_B] = F_{AB}^M E_M \rightarrow \hbar^{-r_A/2 - r_B/2} [E_A, E_B] = (\hbar^{-r_A/2 - r_B/2} F_{AB}^M) E_M. \quad (26)$$

hence, we will absorb the powers of $\hbar$ appropriately in the structure constants as indicated above. Upper indices carry positive powers of $\sqrt{\hbar}$ whereas lower indices carry negative powers. Notice that there are *no* powers of $\sqrt{\hbar}$ associated with the index M above, only w.r.t the two AB indices.

Since we are scaling the basis vectors E_A this means that we are choosing the $H^{\hat{C}}, H^0$ to be dimensionless. In this fashion we automatically obtain quantities with the correct units. For example: $[x^\mu, p^\nu]$ will contain a single power of $\hbar$ due to the two factors of $\hbar^{1/2}$ appearing in the $G^{\mu\nu}$ as a result of the scaling of the γ^μ and γ^ν . Their anticommutator yields the $G^{\mu\nu}$ (after the scaling takes place). One obtains identical results with the other holographic components of the polyvectors. The commutator of $[x^{\mu\nu}, p^{\rho\tau}]$ will automatically have the correct $\hbar^2$ power, etc..... Identical results follow for the $H^{\hat{C}} F_{\hat{C}}^{AB}$ terms as well.

If one uses these putative Weyl-Heisenberg algebra relations in the Jacobi identities for the set of variables X^A, P^B, X^C ordinary commutativity of the coordinates will be maintained, $[X^A, X^C] = 0$. However this is not longer the case in the full-fledged algebra as we shall see next.

A direct evaluation of the commutator of two *polyvectors* in terms of the Clifford-valued Planck constant $H = H_M E^M$ is:

$$[X, P] = H = [X_A E^A, P_B E^B] = H = H^C E_C = [X_A, P_B] E^A E^B + P^M X^N [E_M, E_N] =$$

$$[X_A, P_B]E^A E^B + P^M X^N F_{MN}^C E_C \quad (27)$$

the $E^A E^B$ terms in the r.h.s of (27) can be reshuffled to the l.h.s by means of writing the inverse of the geometric product as:

$$(E_A E_B)^{-1} = E_B^{-1} E_A^{-1} = E^B E^A. \quad (28)$$

and this allows us to write the Weyl-Heisenberg algebra in C-spaces in terms of the *scalar* part of the *triple* geometric product $\langle E_C E_B E_A \rangle_0$ as:

$$[X_A, P_B] = (H^C + P^M X^N F_{MN}^C) \langle E_C E_B E_A \rangle_0. \quad (29)$$

where $\langle E_C E_B E_A \rangle_0 \equiv \Omega_{CBA}$ is the scalar part of the geometric triple product. Eq-(29) is the fundamental result of this work.

Inspired on this result (29), if one wishes to write the Weyl-Heisenberg algebras in terms of G^{AB} and the structure constants F_M^{AB}, K_M^{AB} of the commutators and anticommutators, respectively, $[E^A, E^B] = F_C^{AB} E^C$, $\{E^A, E^B\} = K_C^{AB} E^C$, the Weyl-Heisenberg algebra reads:

$$[X_A, P_B] = H_0 G_{AB} + H_{\hat{C}} [F_{BA}^{\hat{C}} + K_{AB}^{\hat{C}}] +$$

$$P_M X_N F_C^{MN} [F_{BA}^C + K_{AB}^C].$$

Once again the $\hat{C}$ index in (27b) runs over all multi-indices of the Clifford algebra except the unit element.

The Weyl-Heisenberg algebra can be written compactly in the 'spin' plus 'orbital' angular momentum form:

$$[X_A, P_B] = H_{AB} + J_{AB}. \quad (30)$$

with the standard Planck constant-like terms of the form:

$$H_{AB} = H_0 G_{AB} + H_{\hat{C}} [F_{BA}^{\hat{C}} + K_{AB}^{\hat{C}}]. \quad (31)$$

Notice the *mixed* symmetry of this expression, a symmetric plus antiymmetric piece in A, B . Had one had commuting basis elements, like in ordinary space-time, and a scalar component for $H = H_0$ one would have had the standard Weyl-Heisenberg algebra $[X^A, P^B] = H^0 G^{AB}$. Since the powers of $\hbar$ are absorbed by the metric G^{AB} this implies that $H^0 = i$. The extra term in (30) is the analog of the orbital angular momentum in C-spaces given by:

$$J_{AB} = P_M X_N F_C^{MN} \langle E^C E_B E_A \rangle_0 \equiv J_C \langle E^C E_B E_A \rangle_0 = J_C \Omega_{AB}^C. \quad (32)$$

it also has mixed symmetry in the indices A, B .

The elements H^{AB} involving the components of the polyvector-valued Planck constant resemble the familiar quaternionic and octonionic expansions of a quaternion and octonion in terms of their components. This indicates that

QM in C-spaces may be intrinsically linked with Quaternionic and Octonionic QM [23].

An immediate consequence of the C-space Weyl-Heisenberg algebra is that it *induces* automatically a Noncommutative Geometric structure in the X^A coordinates. To satisfy the Bianchi identities among the triples X^A, P^B, X^C and X^A, X^B, X^C it is fairly clear that the coordinates *cannot* commute due to the explicit X, P terms in the modified Weyl-Heisenberg algebra. Hence, the Jacobi identities require:

$$[X^A, X^B] = \Sigma^{AB}. \quad [X^C, \Sigma^{AB}] = 0. \quad (33)$$

where Σ^{AB} is a tensor-like operator-valued object in C-space that does not destroy C-space Lorentz invariance and which is implicitly defined by the Jacobi identities. Suitable powers of the Planck scale are absorbed in the defining relations for Σ^{AB} in order to match units. Since the Planck scale is a C-space invariant one will maintain C-space Lorentz invariance. To evaluate explicitly the expression for Σ^{AB} will be the subject of future investigation. It is nontrivial even if we set in *flat* C-spaces: $[P^A, P^B] = 0$.

Another important consequence is that we *cannot* represent naively the operators by the old QM prescriptions:

$$X^A \rightarrow (H^{AB} + J^{AB}) \frac{\partial}{\partial P^B}. \quad (34a)$$

$$P^A \rightarrow (H^{AB} + J^{AB}) \frac{\partial}{\partial X^B}. \quad (34b)$$

These naive representations of the X, P operators in the Weyl-Heisenberg algebra do *not* longer hold due to the explicit X, P dependence of the C-space angular momentum J^{AB} in the Weyl-Heisenberg algebra.

Using the effective $\hbar(p^2)$, where $p^2 = p_\mu p^\mu$, [2] we could still represent the position operator in terms of the momentum variables:

$$x_i \rightarrow i\hbar_{effective}(p^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p^i}. \quad (35a)$$

but *no* longer we may write that:

$$p_i \rightarrow -i\hbar_{effective}(p^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}. \quad (35b)$$

otherwise one would not have been able to satisfy the Weyl-Heisenberg relation:

$$[x^i, p^j] = i\hbar_{eff}(p^2) \delta^{ij}. \quad (36)$$

assuming a flat spacetime $[p^i, p^j] = 0$. Hence, the symmetry between x, p is *broken* already in these cases where one works with a modified Weyl-Heisenberg algebra using an effective $\hbar(p^2)$ with $p^2 = p_\mu p^\mu$.

Using other effective matrix valued $\hbar_{ij}$ that depend on $\vec{p} = p^i$ and on products like $p^i p^j$ happen to *break* Lorentz invariance explicitly despite maintaining

rotational symmetry [21, 25]. The fact that Lorentz invariance is broken is not surprising since these models are based on kappa-deformed Poincare symmetries [11, 12]. We have shown how one can break C-space Lorentz invariance to obtain a kappa-deformed effective Lorentz transformations which leave the Planck scale invariant [2, 16].

A length scale was introduced by *hand* by Snyder [22] when he wrote down the commutation relations for the four spacetime coordinates based on a 5-dimensional spacetime, whose *fifth* dimension was *discrete* in units of length l :

$$[x^\mu, x^\nu] = l^2 [J^{5\mu}, J^{5\nu}] = i l^2 J^{\mu\nu}$$

where $J^{\mu\nu}$ is an angular momentum in *four* dimensions and the four spacetime coordinates x^μ (divided by l) are identified with the components of the angular momentum which contain the *fifth* direction. Lorentz invariance is maintained in the four-dimensions by construction. The origin of the scale l is due to the discrete fifth dimension. The authors in [11, 12, 21] have related this scale to the deformation parameter of kappa-deformed Poincare algebras $l = 1/\kappa = \Lambda$, with the fundamental difference that the four dim Lorentz invariance is *broken*, only rotational symmetry is conserved.

The advantage of C-spaces is that one does not need to introduce *ad hoc* this angular momentum type commutators for the four spacetime coordinates, by recurring to an extra discrete dimension of size l . The Weyl-Heisenberg and X^A coordinate algebras in C-space are indeed compatible with the C-space Lorentz invariance *without* introducing extra dimensions. The Planck scale is a true invariant of C-space. In [13] we have shown that the Conformal algebra in four dimensions $SO(4, 2)$ does *not* require the six-dimensional interpretation associated with the Anti de Sitter group. Instead, it can be obtained directly from the Clifford algebra of four dim spacetime.

It is fairly clear why C-space QM differs from the ordinary QM in many aspects. To start it is already a Noncommutative QM since it involves a Noncommutative Geometric structure for the X coordinates. The main task in the near future will be to construct a QFT in C-spaces, in particular, to use Quantum Clifford Algebras and Braided Hopf Quantum Clifford algebras to study q-deformations of C-spaces [15]. A Moyal-like star product construction deserves further study as well. Since C-spaces involves the physics of all p -loops it is warranted to use methods of multisymplectic geometry (mechanics) since phase spaces in C-spaces involve antisymmetric tensors of arbitrary rank. Nambu-Poisson QM seems to be the most appropriate one to study C-space QM. In particular the use of the Zariski star product deformations vs the Moyal one [24] will be welcome.

To finalize we discuss how one would take *contractions* of the Weyl-Heisenberg algebra to obtain an effective matrix valued $\hbar_{ij}$, or for that matter, to generate a single effective Planck constant in the form of $\hbar\delta_{ij}$. The most natural candidate is to take the norm-squared as an effective $\hbar$:

$$H^{AB}H_{AB} + J^{AB}J_{AB} + H^{AB}J_{AB} + J^{AB}H_{AB}. \quad (37)$$

C-space polydimensional invariance can be broken by imposing similar type of constraints like we had in section 1, relating the holographic norms of polyvectors to the powers of ordinary vector norms:

$$J^{AB}J_{AB} = \sum_n a_n (J/\hbar)^{2n}. \quad J^2 = J_{\mu\nu}J^{\mu\nu}. \quad J^{\mu\nu} = p^\mu x^\nu - p^\nu x^\mu. \quad (38)$$

and in this way the norm-squared (37) reduces to:

$$(\hbar_{eff}/\hbar)^2 = H^{AB}H_{AB} + H^{AB}J_{AB} + J^{AB}H_{AB} + \sum_n a_n (J/\hbar)^{2n} \quad (40)$$

Eq-(40) for the effective $\hbar(p^2)$ is far more general than the ones discussed in the previous section. In particular, the last terms of (40) do contain the required terms $\sum p^{2n}$ in the effective $\hbar$. This can be understood after relating the angular momentum J to the $m^2 = p^2$ of the center of mass coordinates. In the ordinary mechanics of a rigid top there are two Casimirs, the angular momentum J^2 and the Energy given in terms of the angular momentum, in the case of a *symmetric* top, as $E = J^2/2\mathcal{I}$ where $\mathcal{I}$ is the moment of inertia of the symmetric top.

If one assumes a similar relationship among Energy and angular momentum in the relativistic case, one will have the familiar Regge-type of relation asociated with the on-shell string specrum: $J \sim (\alpha)' m^2$, where on shell, $m^2 = p^2$ and $(\alpha)'$ is the inverse string tension, of the order of Λ^2 . In this case one will be able to match the results of section 1, involving an effective $\hbar_{eff}(p^2)$, for on-shell values $p^2 = m^2$, with the last terms of (40) after breaking the C-space polydimensional invariance in (38) and using the desired Regge relation.

Concluding: Quantization in C-spaces contains a very rich Noncommutative structure from which many old results can be derived after breaking the C-space Lorentz invariance. There is no need to introduce ad-hoc nontrivial commutation relations for the spacetime coordinates. These are induced from the mere quantization process. No extra discrete fifth dimension is required to introduce a length scale. C-space Relativity already has a natural invrariant minimum Planck scale by definition.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Matej Pavsic and Alex Granik for many conversations which led to this work. To Jorge Mahecha for his help in preparing the manuscript and to Dr. Alfred Schoeller and family for their warm Mistelbachian hospitality without which this work would not have been possible.

References

- 1- L. Nottale: “La Relativite dans tous ses Etats” . Hachette Lit. Paris 1999.
“Fractal Spacetime and Microphysics, Towards Scale Relativity”. World Scientific. Singapore, 1992.
- 2- C. Castro: Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **11** (2000) 1663. hep-th/0001134
Foundations of Physics **30** (2000) 1301. hep-th/0001023. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **11** (2000) 1721. hep-th/9912113. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **12** (2001) 1585. physics/0011040. “The Programs of the Extended Relativity in C-spaces, towards the physical foundations of string theory” hep-th/0205065.
“The search for the origins of M theory” hep-th/9809102
- 3- M.Pavsic: “The Landscape of Theoretical Physics”: A Global View Kluwer, Dordrecht 1993. “Clifford algebra based polydimensional Relativity and Relativistic Dynamics” Talk presented at the IARD Conference in Tel Aviv, June 2000. Foundations of Physics **31** (2001) 1185. hep-th/0011216. Phys. Lett **A 242** (1998) 187. Nuovo Cimento **A 110** (1997) 369.
- 4- J. Fanchi “Parametrized Relativistic Quantum Theory”. Kluwer, Dordrecht 1993.
- 5- W. Pezzaglia: “Physical Applications of a Generalized Geometric Calculus” gr-qc/9710027.
- 6- D. Hestenes: “Spacetime Algebra” Gordon and Breach, New York, 1996.
D. Hestens and G. Sobczyk: “Clifford Algebra to Geometric Calculus” D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1984.
- 7- “Clifford Algebras and their applications in Mathematical Physics” Vol 1: Algebras and Physics. eds by R. Ablamowicz, B. Fauser. Vol 2: Clifford analysis. eds by J. Ryan, W. Sprosig Birkhauser, Boston 2000.
- 8- P. Lounesto: “Clifford Algebras and Spinors”. Cambridge University Press. 1997.
- 9- S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, E. Spallucci: Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **10** (2-3) (1999).
- 10- S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, C. Castro, E. Spallucci: Phys. Rev. **D 64** 026003 (2001) hep-th/0105027.
- 11- J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki: “Double Special Relativity versus kappa-deformed Relativistic dynamics” hep-th/0203065.
J. Lukierski, V. Lyakhovsky, M. Mozrzymas: “kappa-deformations of $D = 4$ Weyl and conformal symmetries” hep-th/0203182.
S.Majid, H. Ruegg: Phys. Lett **B 334** (1994) 348.
J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg, W. Zakrzewski: Ann. Phys. **243** (1995) 90.
J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg, V. Tolstoy: Phys. Lett **B 264** (1991) 331.
- 12- J. Kowalski-Glikman, S. Nowak: “Noncommutative spacetime of Double Special Relativity” hep-th/0204245
- 13- C. Castro, M. Pavsic: “Higher Derivative Gravity and Torsion from the Geometry of C-spaces” hep-th/0110079. To appear in Phys. Lett B.
- C. Castro, M. Pavsic: “The Clifford algebra of Spacetime and the Conformal Group” hep-th/0203194.

- 14- C. Castro, A. Granik: "Extended Scale Relativity, p-loop harmonic oscillator and logarithmic corrections to the black hole entropy" physics/0009088.
C. Castro: Jour. of Entropy **3** (2001) 12-26.
- 15- B. Fauser: "A treatise on Quantum Clifford Algebras" math.QA/0202059
- Z. Osiewicz: "Clifford Hopf Algebra and bi-universal Hopf algebra" q-qlg/9709016.
- C. Blochmann "Spin representations of the Poincare Algebra" Ph. D Thesis math.QA/0110029.
- 16- C. Castro, A. Granik: "Planck scale Relativity and variable fine structure from C-space": To appear.
- 17- A. Aurilia, C. Castro, M. Pavsic, E. Spallucci: To appear.
- 18- H. Brandt: Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **10** (2-3) (1999) 267.
- 19- A. Margolin, A. Tregubovich: "Generalized uncertainty relations.....and thermodynamics from a uniform point of view" gr-qc/0204078.
- 20- S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, E. Spallucci: "Fuzzy dimensions and p-brane uncertainty relation" hep-th/ 0205028. To appear in Class. Quan. Gravity.
- 21- M. Maggiore: "The Atick-Witten free energy, closed tachyon condensation and deformed Poincare algebra" hep-th/0205014.
- 22- S. Snyder: Phys. Rev. **D 71** (1947) 38. Phys. Rev. **D 71** (1947) 78.
- 23- S. Adler: "Quaternionic QM and QFT" Oxford Univ. Press. New York, 1995.
- 24- G. Dito, M. Flato, D. Sternheimer, L. Takhtajan: Comm. Math. Phys. **183** (1997) 1-22.
- 25- A. Kempf, G. Mangano, R. Mann: Phys. Rev **D 52** (1995) 1108. hep-th/9412167.